U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA 2006 FEB -6 PM 3: 08 OFFICE OF THE CLERK

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

THOMAS LYNCH,	) Case No. 4:06 Cv 3023	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	COMPLAINT AND REQUEST	
AQUILA, Inc.,	) FOR JURY TRIAL )	
Defendant.	) )	

Comes now the plaintiff, through counsel and for his Complaint against the defendant, states:

- 1. This is an action for declaratory, injunctive, monetary and other appropriate relief necessary to redress the defendants' violation(s) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. Section(s) 12101 et seq., and the Nebraska Fair Employment Practices Act ("NFEPA"), N.R.S. Section(s) 48-1101 et seq.
- Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section(s) 12101 et seq. and 28 U.S.C. 1331, 1343, 2201 and 2202. This Court has jurisdiction of the plaintiff's state law claim(s) based on the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction.
- 3. Plaintiff, Thomas Lynch is a resident of Nebraska and has been a resident of Nebraska at all times relevant to the instant action.
- 4. Defendant, Aquila, Inc. ("Aquila") is a corporate entity conducting business in Nebraska.
- 5. Defendant is an "employer" as defined by the ADA as it engages in industry affecting commerce and employs fifteen (15) or more employees and has done so at all times relevant to the instant action.

- 6. All unlawful activities for which redress is sought through this Complaint occurred in Nebraska.
- 7. Plaintiff timely filed a charge of discrimination with the Nebraska Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("NEOC") and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging that defendants discriminated against him by violating the ADA and the NFEPA.
- 8. Plaintiff was issued a Right to Sue Letter by the EEOC, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A".

#### **FACTS**

- 9. On or about June 24, 2004, plaintiff, a quadriplegic, applied, on-line, for a customer service position with defendant.
- 10. The position for which plaintiff applied was to be performed on a computer.
- 11. The plaintiff was informed by the defendant, through its representative, that the application process entailed a multiple choice test, on paper, with a timed transcribing section. As plaintiff's aforementioned physical disability precluded him from performing the transcription function of the test within the prescribed time parameters, he inquired if he could take said test on a computer. The representative indicated to him that the test was not offered on the computer. He then inquired if he could bring an individual to assist him with the transcription aspect of the test at which he was informed by the representative that he could not. He then inquired whether defendant was willing to make any accommodation that would allow him to take the required test at which the representative indicated that no accommodation would be provided to him.

#### COUNT I

- 12. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 11 as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. The defendant(s) refusal to provide plaintiff with the sought reasonable accommodation violates the ADA.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of the defendant(s) aforementioned illegal conduct, plaintiff has suffered lost wages and benefits, undue hardship, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life and mental anguish.

15. Defendant's actions are willful and/or recklessly indifferent to the plaintiff's federally protected rights. Punitive damages are appropriate.

#### COUNT II

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 11 as if fully set forth herein.
- 17. The defendant's refusal to engage in an interactive process to determine whether a reasonable accommodation could have been provided to the plaintiff regarding the testing procedures used incident to the hiring process for the sought position violates the ADA.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the defendant's aforementioned illegal conduct, plaintiff has suffered lost wages and benefits, undue hardship, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life and mental anguish.
- 19. Defendant's actions are willful and/or recklessly indifferent to the plaintiff's federally protected rights. Punitive damages are appropriate.

#### COUNT III

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 11 as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. The defendant's actions set forth above violate the Nebraska Fair Employment Practices Act for the same reason(s) set forth in the preceding counts in this complaint.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the defendant's aforementioned illegal conduct, plaintiff has suffered lost wages and benefits, undue hardship, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court assume jurisdiction herein and grant the following relief:

- a. Declare the conduct of the defendant's to be violative of the rights of plaintiff Thomas Lynch under the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or the Nebraska Fair Employment Practices Act.
- b. Award plaintiff compensatory back pay, reinstatement or front pay in lieu thereof, lost benefits, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs and such other and further relief as justice and equity require.

### THOMAS LYNCH, Plaintiff

By: /s/Paul D. Boross

Paul D. Boross #20878 941 "O" Street, #708 Lincoln, NE 68508 (402) 474-6100 Attorney for Plaintiff

## JURY DEMAND

The plaintiff hereby requests a jury trial in Lincoln, Nebraska.

/s/Paul D. Boross

EEOC Form 161-B (3/98)

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQU	JEST)
--	-------

	mas Tom Lynch Starr St #3 oln, NE 68505		From: Denver Dist 303 East 179 Suite 510 Denver, CO	th Avenue
		•	Donver, OO	00203
	On behalf of person(s CONFIDENTIAL (29 C	) aggrieved whose identity is CFR § 1601.7(a))		
Charge No		EEOC Representative		Telephone No.
		Ronald L. Houser,		
32E-2004	-01130	State and Local Coordinator		(303) 866-1340
NOTICE TO	THE PERSON AGGRIEVE	o:	(See also the additional	l information enclosed with this form.)
the ADA mo	ust be filed in federal o	sed on the above-numbered charge.	It has been issued at your receipt of this Notice o	s is your Notice of Right to Sue, issued request. Your lawsuit under Title VII or or your right to sue based on this charge
X	More than 180 days h	nave passed since the filing of this ch	arge.	
	Less than 180 days he be able to complete it	nave passed since the filing of this ch ts administrative processing within 18	arge, but I have determine	d that it is unlikely that the EEOC will
X		iting its processing of this charge.	and the same of th	o onargo.
	The EEOC will contin	ue to process this charge.		
unui 90 day	mination in Employm ys after you receive not your case:	nent Act (ADEA): You may sue unde tice that we have completed action or	er the ADEA at any time fron the charge. In this regard	m 60 days after the charge was filed i, the paragraph marked below
	The EEOC is closing 90 DAYS of your rec	your case. Therefore, your lawsuit useipt of this Notice. Otherwise, you	nder the ADEA must be fi right to sue based on the	led in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> above-numbered charge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continu you may file suit in fe	ing its handling of your ADEA case. deral or state court under the ADEA	However, if 60 days have pat this time.	passed since the filing of your charge,
III lederal o	ii state court within 2 ye	y have the right to sue under the EPA ears (3 years for willful violations) of the than 2 years (3 years) before yo	ne alleged FPA undernavm	ot required.) EPA suits must be brought ent. This means that backpay due for lectible.
If you file s	uit based on this charg	e, please send a copy of your court o	complaint to this office.	
•		On behalf of t	he Commission	
		June	en de	DEC 0 5 2005
Enclosure(	s)	<del>-</del>	M. Leino, District Director	(Date Mailed)
•				

AQUILA, INC
P O Box 83008
Lincoln, NE 68501